

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/914,974	MOLTO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anabel M Ton	2875	

All Participants:

(1) Anabel M Ton.

(2) Stephen Husar.

Date of Interview: _____

Status of Application: _____

(3) Mark Pratt.

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
Rejection of independent claims

Claims discussed:
1 and newly added claims 10-12


Prior art documents discussed:
Sato

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Newly amended claims are allowable over prior art of record (mainly Sato reference). Claims subject to new prior art search based on amended subject matter.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


Stephen Husar
Primary Examiner

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)



 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)